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ABSTRACT
The thickness of an active plate boundary fault is an important parameter for understanding the strength and spatial heterogeneity of fault behavior. We have compiled direct measurements of the thickness of subduction thrust faults from active and ancient examples observed by ocean drilling and field studies in accretionary wedges. We describe a general geometric model for subduction thrust décollements, which includes multiple simultaneously active, anastomosing fault strands tens of meters thick. The total thickness encompassing all simultaneously active strands increases to ~100–350 m at ~1–2 km below seafloor, and this thickness is maintained down to a depth of ~15 km. Thin sharp faults representing earthquake slip surfaces or other discrete slip events are found within and along the edges of the tens-of-meters-thick fault strands. Although flattening, primary inherited chaotic fabrics, and fault migration through subducting sediments or the frontal prism may build mélangé sections that are much thicker (to several kilometers), this thickness does not describe the active fault at any depth. These observations suggest that models should treat the subduction thrust plate boundary fault as <1–20 cm thick during earthquakes, with a concentration of postseismic and interseismic creep in single to several strands 5–35 m thick, with lesser distributed interseismic deformation in stratally disrupted rocks surrounding the fault strands.

INTRODUCTION
The thickness of plate boundary faults is a key parameter for understanding mechanical behavior (Sibson, 2003). For any increment of slip, the width over which deformation is distributed determines the strain rate in the fault rock, which controls the activity of different deformation mechanisms. At high slip rates, a moderately narrow slip surface may be heated by friction to cause thermal pressurization, while the same amount of slip distributed over a thinner fault core may undergo frictional melting, causing melt lubrication. Fault thickness affects other physical parameters that control dynamic processes. Porosity and permeability variations during the seismic cycle scale with fault width (Sleep and Blanpied, 1992), as do dilatation-related controls on changing fault strength (Segall and Rice, 1995).

Determination of décollement thickness has relied mostly on seismic reflection imaging (Bangs et al., 2004; Shipley et al., 1994). Seismic imaging and some outcrop studies reveal reflective or deformed zones tens of meters to kilometers thick, but it is difficult to determine whether these are representative of the instantaneously active fault or built progressively through multiple stages of deformation, and therefore overestimate fault thickness (Cowan, 1978; Vannucchi et al., 2012; Wakahayashi, 2011). Seismic reflection imaging has differentiated layers of low impedance, and probably high pore pressure, tens of meters thick within thicker deformed zones (e.g., Bangs et al., 2004; Shipley et al., 1994). These may correlate to zones of active shearing or a zone of fluid trapped beneath a shearing layer.

This paper focuses only on the plate boundary fault strands (geologically contemporaneous zones accommodating most of the strain of relative plate motion). We do not use the term “subduction channel,” which may describe any of a suite of observational and theoretical definitions of the plate boundary zone that may vary substantially in space and time (Vannucchi et al., 2012). We constrain this variation in space by looking at a suite of examples where the width of the deforming zone is precisely measured, and where structural relations suggest preservation of a discrete time period of fault activity.

We have compiled observations of subduction thrust faults active at depths from 0 to 15 km (Fig. 1A; Table 1). From ocean drilling, we compiled direct measurements from core or logging-while-drilling data of the thickness of the décollement offshore Costa Rica, Barbados, southwest Japan, and northeast Japan. The
depth below seafloor is precisely known (~150–950 m; Fig. 1A). To acquire deeper measurements, we refer to geological studies of exposed thrust faults preserved in ancient subduction complexes in California, Alaska, Italy, and Japan. The depth of activity is estimated from pressure proxies such as fluid inclusion thermobarometry or metamorphic mineral assemblage, and is influenced by assumptions of thermal structure and overburden density. These examples are plotted as depth ranges (Figs. 1A and 2).

**FAULT THICKNESS MEASUREMENTS**

Over the studied depth range, the plate boundary fault structure can be generally described as one or more intensively sheared fault strands with sharp discrete faults inside or along the edge (Fig. 1B). Some contain pseudotachylyte and are interpreted as earthquake slip surfaces, while the rate of localized slip on others is unknown. If more than one fault strand is simultaneously active, the total fault zone thickness is defined as the minimum structural thickness encompassing all simultaneously active strands. As shear migrates through time, a greater thickness of sheared rock or mélangé may accumulate, within which it may be difficult to differentiate the total fault thickness. Mélangé may form by flattening and volume loss rather than high shear strain (Fagereng, 2013), or may result from structural overprint on a sedimentary mélangé (Cowan, 1978; Vannucchi et al., 2008; Wakabayashi, 2011). Therefore, we do not use estimates of décollement thickness derived from seismic reflection images, as it is impossible to distinguish the active fault zone from the cumulative thickness of sheared rock that may include previously underplated sediments (Fig. 1B), and the resolution of seismic reflection data is many tens of meters (similar to the fault strand thickness). Steep faults cutting the upper plate (spall faults) are contemporaneous with the décollement. Motion on these faults acts to thicken the prism but they are distinct from the décollement that accommodates most of the relative convergence of the plates; we have not included them in our analysis.

All fault strands observed in ocean drilling are assumed to be active, and we reviewed both core and logging data to insure that all faults in the section were counted (Table 1). For the outcrop studies, two criteria were used to establish geologically contemporaneous (10–100 k.y. time scales) fault strands. Multiple faults comprising a duplex structure are assumed to be contemporaneous (Okitsu, southwest Japan; Sestola-Vidiciatico, Italy; Mugi, Japan), and in Sestola-Vidiciatico, identical nanofossil ages indicate concurrent deposition and subduction of fault-bounded packages (Vannucchi et al., 2008, 2012). Some outcrops display smoothly merging shear foliations at intersections of anastomosing fault strands, showing that slip was shared between them (Pasagshak, Alaska; Rodeo Cove, California). Elsewhere, we have taken the thickness of the mélangé of consistent metamorphic grade as an upper limit on total fault thickness (El Cerrito Quarry, California).

---

**TABLE 1. COMPILED THICKNESS OBSERVATIONS BY DIRECT MEASURE OF GEOLOGICALLY INSTANTANEOUS SHEARING WIDTH FROM OCEAN DRILLING AND OUTCROP OBSERVATIONS OF EXHUMED FAULTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Depth below seafloor (m)</th>
<th>Total fault zone thickness (m)</th>
<th>Fault strand thickness (m)</th>
<th>Discrete slip surface thickness (m)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Source*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica, IODP Leg 170, Site 1043</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados, IODP Leg 171, Site 1047</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10, 26</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica, IODP Leg 205 Site 1254</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.03–0.08</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica, IODP Site 1040</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nankai Kuman, IODP Site C0007</td>
<td>398.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados, IODP Leg 171, Site 1045 (from LWD)</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados, IODP Leg 110, Site 671</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nankai Muroto, IODP Leg 190 Site 1174</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&lt;140</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan Trench, IODP Expedition 343/343T, C00019 (JFAST)</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>&lt;4.87</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nankai Muroto, IODP Leg 196, Site 808 (LWD)</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6, 6, 5</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nankai Muroto, IODP Leg 131, Site 808 (core)</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okitsu Mélange, Shikoku Island, Japan</td>
<td>1500–4000</td>
<td>~200</td>
<td>2–5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>190–270</td>
<td>9, 10, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sestola-Vidiciatico tectonic unit, Apennines, Italy</td>
<td>2000–5000</td>
<td>200–500</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>12, 13, 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mugi Mélange, Shikoku Island, Japan (fluidized rock)</td>
<td>6000–7000</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>5–30</td>
<td>0.02–0.2</td>
<td>130–150</td>
<td>15, 16, 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mugi Mélange, Shikoku Island, Japan (pseudotachylytes)</td>
<td>6000–7000</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>170–200</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodeo Cove thrust, Franciscan Complex, California</td>
<td>8000–10,000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>30–50</td>
<td>0.001–0.2</td>
<td>200–250</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasagshak Point thrust, Kodiak, Alaska</td>
<td>12,000–14,000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>7–31</td>
<td>0.05–0.35</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>19, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Cerrito Quarry thrust, Franciscan Complex, California</td>
<td>~15,000</td>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>0.001–0.01</td>
<td>250–350</td>
<td>21, 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: IODP—Integrated Ocean Drilling Program; JFAST—Japan Trench Fast Drilling Project; NR—not reported; N/A—not applicable; LWD—logging while drilling. Leg refers to the ocean drilling expedition and Site refers to the specific drill site where measurement was made from core. Depths for ocean drilling measurements are to center of fault zone.

The observations show two significant patterns (Fig. 2). First, there is no systematic change in the thickness of fault strands or sharp discrete faults with depth. The thickness of a single fault strand is highly variable near the trench, and the variability is consistent to 15 km. Sharp discrete faults may be underreported, as smaller features are more vulnerable to destruction or loss due to incomplete core recovery. However, the measurements made from ocean drilling in active décollements span the range of measurements from outcrop studies of ancient faults (Fig. 2), so it is likely that this variability exists from the trench to ~15 km depth.

Second, faults develop at the seafloor as a single strand and grow by developing additional separated fault strands, not through a gradual or continuous increase in thickness. This transition to a multistrand structure occurs at ~1–2 km depth. We include subduction thrusts of different ages, thermal structures, convergence rates, dips, and lithologies (see the GSA Data Repository1). These factors influence pore pressure, deformation mechanism, and porosity response to shearing, all of which probably ultimately control strain hardening or weakening and the tendency of faults to broaden with shear. However, the remarkable consistency across ~2–15 km depth suggests that the 100–350-m-thick multistrand fault is sufficiently weak relative to the prism and the oceanic plate that gradual growth is discouraged. The mature fault zone is about an order of magnitude thicker than an individual fault strand.

CONSEQUENCES OF CONSISTENT THICKNESS WITH DEPTH

Strain localization may increase with slip rate (Chester and Chester, 1998; Ujiie and Tsutsumi, 2010), and the records of the highest strain rates (indicated by evidence of flash heating) are found on thin fault surfaces. Broadening of slipping zones may be favored in strain-hardening materials including clay-rich sediments (Faulkner et al., 2008). Thicker fault strands that contain and are cut by contemporaneous sharp discrete faults may deform at slip rates ranging from plate rate to seismic (~1 m/s), although these fast slip rates cannot be detected on thicker faults (Rowe et al., 2011). The studied faults range from ~0 to 15 km depth, at temperatures of fault activity to ~250–300 °C (see the Data Repository). At these temperatures, granular flow and/or cataclasis and solution creep are the dominant deformation mechanisms. The rate of solution creep increases with temperature, increasing in relative importance in accommodating plate motion with depth. At temperatures above ~300–350 °C, the onset of crystal plastic deformation in quartz and feldspar might change the deformation regime and the effective width of faults.

This compilation may also be compared to models for exhumation of high-pressure–low-temperature metamorphic rocks that require upward backflow of buoyant low-density sediments along the décollement (Cloos and Shreve, 1988). All of the examples include reports of fault kinematics, and they are universally consistent with seaward-vergent overthrusting. There is no evidence for upward flow of material in the fault zones, as has been suggested for Franciscan mélanges at similar pressure-temperature conditions. This does not rule out buoyant backflow at greater depths, but it does show that the necessary conditions to allow such flow are not a universal condition in the mid-seismogenic zone. We place a limit of ~100–350 m on the reasonable width that could be used to model such channel flow in the seismogenic zone (we see no thickening with depth below ~1–2 km as predicted by Cloos and Shreve, 1988, or Moore and Byrne, 1987).

SUBDUCTION THRUST FAULTS COMPARED TO CONTINENTAL FAULTS

Compilation of damage zone width in continental faults by Mitchell and Faulkner (2009) and Savage and Brodsky (2011) indicate increasing width with displacement until ~200–400 m wide at ~150 m of offset, followed by slower but continued growth. In mature faults, a zone of low seismic velocity around the fault has been correlated to the width of the active zone, and these have been estimated as ~100–400 m and 150–200 m wide (San Andreas fault; Huang and Ampuero, 2011; Yang et al., 2011). Varying definitions of fault zone and damage zone widths are used in these studies, so it is interesting to see that they report similar dimensions to the total fault width in this study (Fig. 2).

Our subduction compilation shows a stable fault width at ~1–2 km depth or ~5–12 km displacement (assuming dips of 2°–10°), which is 1–2 orders of magnitude more than the continental faults take to reach mature width. This slow growth may be attributable to underconsolidation, granular flow, and compaction contributing to healing deformation bands, and other behaviors of subducting sediments. In contrast, nonrecoverable fracturing of continental rock may more rapidly establish a permanent weak zone relative to surrounding rocks, favoring reactivation rather than expansion of the fractured zone. The threshold of ~1–2 km depth may correspond to a critical compaction at which the materials of the décollement become sufficiently stiff or impermeable to create an equivalent rheologic contrast. According to the definitions in this paper, the subduction thrust structure is more akin to the accumulated overlapping coeval strands (Childs et al., 2009; Savage and Brodsky, 2011) than to a model for damage zone growth by near-fault fracturing around a dominant slipping strand (e.g., Shipton and Cowie, 2001).

CONCLUSION

At depths <1–15 km, subduction plate boundary faults consist of one or more strands 5–35 m thick, cut by sharp discrete faults <1–20 cm wide, that accommodated highly localized slip (including, but not limited to, earthquake slip). At shallow depths there may be only one fault strand, but at depths >1–2 km, faults develop multiple strands tens of meters thick that anastomose through a zone ~100–350 m thick. The thickness of this zone does not increase down to ~15 km depth. For the purpose of dynamic and fluid flow modeling, the effective deforming width of subduction faults during slow slip or creep should be one or more strands ~5–35 m thick, bounding less deformed rock, within a total thickness of 100–350 m, and some of the interseismic permanent deformation being taken up over broader regions of wall rock.
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