PPBC meeting on 04/14/22: Minutes

**In attendance:**

PPBC Chair: David Rider

PPBC Department Representatives: Bob Mitchell, Amy Anderson, Ying Bao, Andrew Boudreaux, Miriam Gold, Qiang Hao, Brian Hutchinson, Amr Radwan, Dietmar Schwarz

AS Senate Representatives: Miriam Gold, Justin McGlone,

College Representative: Jackie Caplan-Auerbach

**Discussion topics:**

1. Minutes from March 31
* Minor adjustment/correction to minutes
* Minutes unanimously approved
1. Power and Equity GUR task force CSE representatives
* No interested members came forth from departments so far, call for additional reminders to colleagues
* Might clarification of work commitments while on task force be helpful?
1. Timeline for COPEP edits (informational)
* Model timeline for COPEP edits following already approved procedures
* Call for addenda edits early in the academic year. Provide ideas for kind of updates with call for updates, ideally in response to feedback from Personnel Committee.
* Drafts of updates due to PPBC by at the end of fall quarter.
* PPBC reviews proposed changes during winter and spring quarters allowing departments to incorporate feedback.
* Approve and publish changes at end of academic year.
1. Feedback on DOPP change in language
* Representatives reported on both favorable and opposing comments on draft language
* The council did not feel ready to proceed with a decision without obtaining more representative feedback covering all departmental stakeholders
* PPBC will issue a college-wide anonymous Qualtrics survey that will be distributed to Tenured/TT/NTT faculty and staff
* Anonymous survey content:
	1. Intro paragraph derived from CSCI department survey on same issue from spring 2021
	2. Identify home department
	3. Identify type of department member (tenured faculty, TT, NTT, or staff)
	4. Who should vote on DOPP? (simple yes or no on different groups, no qualifier with regards to length of employment)
	5. Should a supermajority of =/>2/3 be required or is a simple majority sufficient?
	6. A comment box will NOT be included as there was already ample opportunity to provide written feedback